

Newman Jackson Smith T 843.534.4309 F 843.534.4350 jack.smith@nelsonmullins.com NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

151 Meeting Street | Sixth Floor Charleston, SC 29401-2239 T 843.853.5200 F 843.722.8700 nelsonmullins.com

August 12, 2019

Ms. Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk South Carolina Court of Appeals 1220 Senate Street P.O. Box 11629 Columbia, SC 29211

RE: Michael and Nancy Halwig and Stephen and Beverly Noller v. Daufuskie

Island Utility Company, Inc.

SC Public Service Commission Docket No.: 2018-364-WS

NMRS File Nos.: 54041/09000 and 055561/09000

Dear Madam:

Enclosed please find the original and one copy of a Notice of Appeal in the above-referenced matter. Also enclosed is a Proof of Service and our firm check in the amount of \$250.00 constituting the filing fee. Please file the original and return a file-stamped copy to me in the enclosed, self-addressed, stamped envelope.

If you have any questions concerning this request, please give me a call at the telephone number listed above. I appreciate your assistance in this matter.

With best regards, I am

Very truly yours,

N∉wman Jackson Smith

RECEIVED

AUG 15 2019

PSC SC MAIL / DMS

NJS:mam Encls.

CC:

Thomas Gressette, Esq. (w/encls.)

Andrew M. Bateman, Esq. (w/encls.) Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esq. (w/encls.)

Joseph M. Melchers, Esq. (w/encls.)

Jocelyn Boyd, Clerk, Public Service Commission of SC (w/encls.)

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

#### APPEAL FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Public Service Commission Docket No. 2018-364-WS

NOTICE OF APPEAL
South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff, Daufuskie Island Utility Co., Inc Respondents.
v.
Michael and Nancy Halwig and Stephen and Beverly Noller,

Michael and Nancy Halwig and Stephen and Beverly Noller appeal Order No. 2019-424 dated June 12, 2019 in Docket No. 2018-364-WS of the Public Service Commission (attached as Exhibit A). On July 17, 2019 Appellants received written notice of entry of Order No. 2019-523 of the Public Service Commission denying the Appellants' motion to reconsider the June 12, 2019 Order (attached as Exhibit B). The names, mailing addresses, and telephone numbers of all attorneys of record and the names of the party or parties represented by each are presented below.

NELSON MULLING RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

By.

Newman Jackson Smith

SC Bar No. 005245

E-Mail: jack.smith@nelsonmullins.com

Wendy Wilkie Parker SC Bar No. 14202

E-mail: wendy.parker@nelsonmullins.com

151 Meeting Street / Suite 600

Post Office Box 1806 (29402-1806)

Charleston, SC 29401-2239

(843) 853-5200

Attorneys for Appellants

Hugust 12, 2019

Andrew Bateman, Esq.
Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esq.
Office of Regulatory Staff
1401 Main Street, Suite 900
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
(803) 737-5230
Attorneys for Respondent Office of Regulatory Staff

Thomas P. Gressette, Jr., Esq.
Walker Gressette Freeman & Linton, LLC
Post Office Box 22167
Charleston, SC 29413
(843) 727-2249
Attorneys for Respondent Daufuskie Island Utility Commission

Challes.

				٠					<u>Action</u>	<u>Item</u>	3
		þ	UBLIC	SERVICE COMM		ISSION ( N DIRECT		OUTH (	CAROLI	AV	
ADMINISTR	ATIVE N	MATTE	R			DATE		June	12, 201	.9	
MOTOR CAR	RRIER M	1ATTER				DOCKET	NO.	2018	-364-W	S	
UTILITIES N	1ATTER			V		ORDER N	Ю.	2019	-424		
THIS DI	RECTI	VE SH	ALL SE	RVE AS T	THE CO	MMISSI	on's	ORDI	R ON T	HIS IS:	SUE,
SUBJECT:  DOCKET NO Complainants Staff Presents Complainants COMMISSI As you may re earlier this ye dispute where I'm going to m authority to gr motion, I'd lik connection an a disconnection dispute. So to of service for t	on AC  call, we ar, as to the part  nove that rant more to add terminon of serve the externation	rices v. In mission ners view distriction is vice to event that	Daufuski n Consid Daufuski attorney r or not t seeking a miss the amages, e Commi ssues, an aither of t it is with	e Island Uti eration Step e Island Con ys argue the he Commiss a monetary case, as the which is the ssion, of con d, therefore the Complai in our jurise	lity Complete and mpany, I purisdiction ever award be relief rurse, does, as a parants' redictional	pany, Incorded Beverly Not incorporate in had subjected in equested in the state of the most idences desidences desidence	rporate bller and bller an	ed. Defend Michiendant, ssue before tissue.  on does eadings fity to defend the dismethe pende ethat we	ndant/Re ael and N Responde ore the Co sdiction to not have t . And as a eal with se issal shoulency of the e stay any	ancy Hal- ent. mmission hear this he statut part of t ervice- ld not res is contra	wig,  n s  ory he sult in
PRESIDING:	Randali				SESS:	ON: <u>Requi</u>	<u>ar</u>	TIM	E: 12:30	p.m.	
	NOTION	YES		THER							
BELSER				ecused							
ERVIN		$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$									
HAMILTON		$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$									
HOWARD											
RANDALL		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$									
WHITFIELD		V									



RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding

WILLIAMS

(SEAL)

V

 $\Box$ 



	<b>‡</b>		-
		•	

Action	Item	4

### PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION DIRECTIVE

ADMINISTRATIVE MATTER		DATE	July 17, 2019	
MOTOR CARRIER MATTER		DOCKET NO.	2018-364-WS	_
UTILITIES MATTER	$\overline{\checkmark}$	ORDER NO.	2019-523	

#### THIS DIRECTIVE SHALL SERVE AS THE COMMISSION'S ORDER ON THIS ISSUE.

#### SUBJECT:

<u>DOCKET NO. 2018-364-WS - Stephen and Beverly Noller and Michael and Nancy Halwig, Complainants/Petitioners v. Daufuskie Island Utility Company, Incorporated, Defendant/Respondent - Staff Presents for Commission Consideration the Complainants' Petition for Rehearing or Reconsideration.</u>

#### **COMMISSION ACTION:**

On June 12, by Commission Order No. 2019-424, we dismissed the Complaint of the Nollers and the Halwigs against Daufuskie Island Utility Company. On June 21, the Complainants timely filed a Petition for Reconsideration and/or Rehearing. The Company did not file a response to the Petition.

The Complainants seek reconsideration or rehearing on three grounds or matters:

- 1) The Complainants state that the Commission does have jurisdiction over the matter, because DIUC has failed to provide adequate and proper water and sewer services to the Complainants. However, DIUC began providing water and sewer service to the homeowners in December of 2018. This issue has long-since been rendered moot.
- 2) The Complainants state that the Commission has jurisdiction to hear this matter in order to remedy the failure of DIUC to submit the Customer Service Agreement for approval (pursuant to S.C. Code Reg. 103-541 and 103-743) before it was entered into with Homeowners. While it is true that this Commission has broad authority over approval of contracts entered into by regulated entities, even if the Commission were to find such actions were violative of properly promulgated regulations, that would still not grant the Commission an ability it does not possess, i.e., we cannot grant monetary damages under an allegedly invalid contract, and in this Petition the Complainants are seeking monetary damages, and that would be for a court of competent jurisdiction, not a proper matter for the South Carolina Public Service Commission.
- 3) The Complainants believe the Commission has the ability to provide monetary damages to the Complainants. In fact, the Complainants cite S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-5-270 and Section 58-5-710.

Under -270, the Commission certainly does have the jurisdictional authority to hear complaints properly brought before it. However, in this case, monetary damages are being sought – and the Commission simply does not have the authority to grant such an award.

The Commission does have authority under -710 to levy a fine or penalty against a regulated utility if the utility is failing to show cause as to why it is not taking steps to provide adequate water and sewer service. As I mentioned earlier, service has been and is continuing to be rendered to the Complainants. Even if that weren't that case, any fines or penalties levied by this Commission go into the General Fund of the State, not to the Complainants.

For these reasons, I move that the Complainants' Petition for Rehearing and/or Reconsideration should be denied in its entirety.

PRESIDING: Randall



	MOTION	YES	NO	OTHER	
BELSER				Recused	
ERVIN	$\overline{\checkmark}$	$\checkmark$			
HAMILTON		$\checkmark$			
HOWARD		$\overline{\checkmark}$			
RANDALL		$\overline{\checkmark}$			
WHITFIELD		$\overline{\checkmark}$			
WILLIAMS				<u>Absent</u>	Military Leave
(SEAL)					RECORDED BY: J. Schmieding
19/100					



## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Court of Appeals

### APPEAL FROM THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Public Service Commission Docket No. 2018-364-WS

Michael and Nancy Halwig and Stephen and Beverly Noller,	Appellants,
v.	
South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff, Daufuskie Island Utility Co., Inc.,	Respondents.
PROOF OF SERVICE	

I, the undersigned Administrative Assistant of the law offices of Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP, attorneys for Michael and Nancy Halwig and Stephen and Beverly Noller, do hereby certify that I have served all counsel in this action with a copy of the pleading(s) hereinbelow specified by mailing a copy of the same by United States Mail, postage prepaid, to the following address(es):

Pleadings:

Notice of Appeal

Counsel Served:

Attorneys for Respondents

Andrew Batéman, Esq.	Thomas P. Gressette, Jr., Esq.
Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esq.	Walker Gressette Freeman & Linton,
Office of Regulatory Staff	LLC
1401 Main Street, Suite 900	Post Office Box 22167
Columbia, South Carolina 29201	Charleston, SC 29413
Counsel for ORS	Counsel for Respondent Daufuskie Island
	Utility Commission

Administrative Tribunal Served:

Attorney for Public Service Commission Clerk, Public Service Commission

٠	Joseph M. Melchers, Esq.	Jocelyn Boyd, Clerk,
	Public Service Commission of SC	Public Service Commission of SC
	101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100	101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Į	Columbia, South Carolina 29210	Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Margaret Marks Administrative Assistant